An Evaluation Tool for the Diagnosis and Management of Arthritic Disorders

W.J. Blechman, MD Clinical Professor University of Miami School of Medicine Miami, Florida Norman Gaylis, MD Clinical Assistant Professor University of Miami School of Medicine Miami, Florida

The proper management of a chronic illness depends on the establishment of an exact diagnosis followed by institution of appropriate treatment. In the field of rheumatology, this goal is much more difficult than it appears. Many patients present with vague rheumatic symptoms that may be manifestations of several disorders. Therefore, a specific disease process usually cannot be diagnosed at initial presentation. Although laboratory tests may help the physician narrow down the possibilities, the results are frequently inconclusive. Indeed, the rheumatologist often must "undo" an incorrect diagnosis because excessive emphasis was placed on a laboratory procedure.

WORKING DIAGNOSIS

Since rheumatic symptoms are often vague and laboratory studies may be inconclusive, the physician should develop a working diagnosis based on the patient's history and physical examination. At the patient's initial presentation, the physician should consider the most likely diagnosis and recognize that the diagnosis may change as the illness unfolds. In arthritis the possibilities are extensive. Furthermore, the lack of curative therapy poses an additional problem. While it is possible to offer symptomatic relief of arthritis and even modify the course of illness in many cases, physicians must acknowledge the limitations of available therapy.

EVALUATION PROGRAM

To facilitate the management of rheumatologic disorders, a group of rheumatologists* developed a tracking program entitled "Initial Management of Arthri-

*Wilbur J. Blechman, MD, Clinical Professor, University of Miami, School of Medicine, Miami, Florida; Gerson C. Bernhard, MD, Clinical Professor, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, Wisconsin; James Klinenberg, MD, Professor and Chairman, Department of Medicine, Cedars Sinai Medical Center — UCLA School of Medicine, Los Angeles, California; Daniel Hamaty, MD, Medical and Pain Service, Charlotte Rehabilitation Hospital, Charlotte, North Carolina; Alfonse Masi, MD, PhD, Professor and Head, Department of Medicine, University of Illinois, College of Medicine at Peoria, Peoria, Illinois.

43

tis." Reproduced herein, the program consists of a Work-up Form and a Database and Tracking Form. In addition, a list of diagnostic and tracking discriminators is provided as a diagnostic aid. These forms are intended to complement the patient's medical record. The program should not be used as a "cookbook," though it can guide the physician in general terms. By using the Database and Tracking Form, the physician can obtain information helpful in the establishment of a working diagnosis and can collect data points for repetitive measurement. Changes

INITIAL MANAGEMENT OF ARTHRITIS WORK-UP FORM

DOCTOR:	
PATIENT NAME:	DATE
AGE:	SEX
CHIEF COMPLAINT:	antibilitations of the second
	is all * unexamente in
PRESENTING SYMPTOMS (including duration, patterns, etc.)	
WHAT FUNCTIONAL LIMITATIONS HAS THIS PROBLEM CAUSED?	
	GICLANICANA ENVIAN
PREVIOUS TREATMENT FOR THIS PROBLEM:	
SIGNIFICANT MEDICAL/SURGICAL HISTORY:	
desimilation engagement continued in	o mimpoeinm out are la

An educational service provided by



that occur as the patient is evaluated over time may suggest additional diagnostic considerations and therapeutic options.

MEDIC: A Mnemonic Device

Use of this program is based on therapeutic concepts of medication, education, diagnosis, involvement, and course, which are identified by the mnemonic, MEDIC:

DATABASE AND TRACKING FORM

		DATE	DATE	DATE	DATE
M	lusculoskeletai				
- 22					
J	oint: Pain/Tenderness	-			
5	Swelling houlder/Hip Pain		-		-
5	pine Pain	THE RESERVE TO SERVE	NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY.	The second second	HEAT TO SEE THE
	luscle Pain/Aching/Stiffness				
M	lorning Stiffness > I hour				
5	ystemic				
F	atigue				1/4
	ever				
W	eight Loss		1		
S	kin Rash				_
Q.	l symptoms			_	
0	U symptoms repression				
C	ther Psychological Problem				
Š	leep Disturbance				
	ther organs (specify)				
	STATE OF THE STATE				
			10		
1	oint: Tenderness				
	Swelling				- 2
	Heat				
	Deformity/Instability				
N	onarticular Swelling				
-0	onarticular Tenderness				
	luscle Weakness		-	-	-
	ubcutaneous Nodules				-
	ash	No.			
	ymph Node Enlargement lver/Spleen Enlargement				
Č	ther Organ Involvement	PAGE 1			
V	asculitic Lesions				
	unctional Impairment (as				
	eported by the patient)		1		
C	BC		The second second	And the second	
	estergren ESR		The state of the s		
R	A Latex				
A	ntinuclear Antibodies				
U	ric Acid	Name of the last			
M	uscle Enzymes			-	
	oint Fluid	-			-
X-	ray				
				+ 1	
6	ONCOMITANT				
	EDICATIONS & DOSAGE	Name of the last			
1.1	DUNGTH TOTAL DUGGIGE				
R	X ORDERED TODAY				-
	CONTROL TO STATE OF S				

Start one a-day Feldene For full anti-arthritic

Please see back cover for Feldene^s (piroxicam) prescribing information.

- Medication is prescribed on the basis of an initial working diagnosis and is
 modified according to further diagnostic data, patient response, side effects,
 compliance, and patient acceptance. Medication must not be used to the exclusion of other important therapeutic modalities, including guided rest and exercise, splinting, education, and occupational and physical therapy.
- Education of the patient concerning the nature of the disorder, its control, and its prognosis is important for all forms of arthritic disease and especially the

DIAGNOSTIC DISCRIMINATORS

REMEMBER—There are few truly diagnostic tests in rheumatology. Diagnoses are made primarily on the basis of patterns of historical, physical and laboratory findings.

In general, the symptoms, signs or laboratory studies noted below will help classify rheumatic complaints into a category, leading to a working diagnosis that can then suggest appropriate initial therapy.

DISEASE CATEGORIES

Diffuse Systemic Diseases

Fever
Weight loss
Other systemic symptoms & signs
Pain/AM stiffness > 1 hour
Westergren ESR elevation
Anemia
Inflammatory joint fluid w/o crystals
Suggestive serologies (e.g., Latex, ANA, Fixation Test)
Joint inflammation (swelling, warmth, erythema)
Muscle weakness
X-ray

Localized Articular Diseases

Localized joint pain/tenderness Bony enlargement 5oft tissue swelling Joint fluid crystals Serum uric acid X-ray

Nonarticular Rheumatic Diseases

Soft tissue swelling Nonarticular involvement Nonarticular point tenderness X-ray, when indicated Normal serologies and ESR

SUGGESTS

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA);
Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE);
Vasculitis; Polymyalgia rheumatica
Spondyloarthropathies. e.g., ankylosing spondylitis,
Reiters syndrome; psoriatic arthritis;
Inflammatory myopathly; other less common
connective tissue disorders

SUGGESTS

Osteoarthritis; Crystal-induced arthritis (gout, pseudogout)

SUGGESTS

Fibromyalgia syndrome; Tenosynovitis/bursitis; Low back syndrome

TRACKING DISCRIMINATORS

The TRACKING DISCRIMINATORS listed below are particularly helpful in defining a favorable or unfavorable response to the management program. When an obvious change is occurring for the better, this is a reassurance that the therapeutic program is appropriate. However, with inadequate response or with drug intolerance or side effects, there is need for additional considerations or therapy. Even improvement should be considered a reason to reevaluate therapy and make appropriate modifications.

- Numbers of joints painful and/or swollen
- Severity of joints painful and/or swollen
- · Systemic organs involved
- Fever
- Stiffness or fatigue

- · Weight loss
- · Functional ability
- · Psychological state
- Patient acceptance of program
- · Medication side effects

POTENTIAL ACTIONS TO BE TAKEN

Depending upon the response of your patient, consider as potential actions those listed below.

- Medicine: addition deletion dosage change
- Reevaluation of diagnosis
- Additional patient education
- Consultation
- Hospitalization
- Use of allied health personnel

more chronic disorders. As with any aspect of therapy, it is necessary to tailor the level of education to the individual patient.

 Diagnosis is essential to proper management of chronic disorders. A specific diagnosis may be impossible early in the course of the disease. Physicians should repeatedly evaluate any diagnosis for accuracy. This is accomplished through close attention to the evolving clinical manifestation of the disease combined with careful analysis of the laboratory data.

HOW TO USE THE DATABASE AND TRACKING FORM

This form will help you develop a working diagnosis by providing a format where the database can be easily recorded. Additionally, information gathered on future visits can be readily compared with the baseline data.

For ANY new patient with musculoskeletal symptoms, place the responses in the boxes under the appropriate visit. The historical and physical findings will help you define a working diagnosis. To enhance the value of the form for tracking, it is suggested that your responses be graded for severity using the 0-3 scale below (in the case of laboratory tests, the actual values can be recorded).

0 = None

1 = Mild

2 = Moderate

3 = Severe

Blank means not evaluated

With the results noted in this manner, you can refer to the DIAGNOS-TIC DISCRIMINATORS to see if your diagnostic considerations agree with those suggested. Also, as results are entered over several visits, you can tell at a glance if the patient is stable, improving, or worsening.

Notice that there is nothing to indicate the interval between visits, as this must be determined by you on the basis of disease severity, acuteness of symptoms, or potency of medication. Patients on drugs with a higher potential for adverse drug reactions should be seen more frequently.

When you have completed this form (after 4 patient visits), you can incorporate it into the patient's permanent office record. Additional DATA-BASE AND TRACKING FORMS can be used in order to extend the tracking beyond the fourth visit.

- Involvement of the patient, family, support person network, allied health professionals, physician associates, and appropriate consultant specialists contributes to comprehensive management and should be considered an essential aspect of management.
- Course of the disease gives essential information upon which to base future diagnostic, therapeutic, and personal decisions. Ongoing evaluation (tracking) is required to document the evolution of the illness. The data obtained help in the modification of management.

Work-up Form

The Work-up Form shown on page 44 of the program includes spaces for answers to questions the physician usually asks when taking a patient's history. There is also space provided for functional limitations identified by the patient, as these manifestations are important to track. (This category also is included on the Database and Tracking Form under the "Physical" section and is one of the ten tracking discriminators identified by the rheumatologists who prepared the program.)

Database and Tracking Form

The Database and Tracking Form shown on page 45 lists many potential disease symptoms, which may be identified in particular patients. Some of these may serve as diagnostic aids. Others are more important to track for periods of time to help determine response to treatment. Some can serve both purposes. As an example, the Figure shows a Database and Tracking Form that was filled in over a 5½ week period for a patient with rheumatoid arthritis. In this case, the diagnosis was clear-cut at the time of the first visit. As a newly diagnosed rheumatoid, the patient was begun on aspirin 3,600 mg/day. When seen again in two weeks, symptoms and signs were obviously more pronounced. Aspirin was raised to 4,200 mg/day, but within ten days the patient was back. Though there may have been some minor improvement in joint symptoms, the patient had developed significant heart burn and tinnitus. She was having more trouble sleeping. Aspirin was discontinued and Feldene® (piroxicam) 20 mg/day begun. Two weeks later, the patient was seen again, no longer having gastrointestinal or central nervous system adverse reactions, and her joints had improved significantly.

The Database and Tracking Form helped document the changes in the patient's condition – both negatively and positively. The changes in the tracking discriminators helped lead the physician to look at other potential actions to be taken.

CONCLUSION

The Initial Management of Arthritis program facilitates the development of a data base, which is then tracked over time. If used appropriately, it will add to the value of the medical record by offering a means of rapid review of clinical data and thereby improve patient care.

INITIAL MANAGEMENT OF ARTHRITIS WORK-UP FORM

DOCTOR: Y	1/2/2
PATIENT NAME: X	DATE 1/7/8
AGE: 28	SEX_F
CHIEF COMPLAINT:	
Pain and swelling	e oz sointe
0	700
PRESENTING SYMPTOMS (including di	uration, patterns, etc.)
free months of	pain and severing, viginion
in hands and	onees subsequently, also
pain in both &	brees. Subsequently, also houlders, feet, and right
ankle.	
	THIS DOOR FM CAUSED?
WHAT PUNCTIONAL LIMITATIONS HAS	
Roblems holdin	g things, Can't walk as
noblems holdin	a things lan't walk as
noblems holdin	a things lan't walk as
much as tegore getting dother o	a. At times, problems
previous treatment for this pro	e. At times, problems
previous treatment for this pro	e. At times, problems
previous treatment for this pro	a. At times, problems
previous treatment for this pro	e. At times, problems
Problems holding much as tegor ptting slothes of previous treatment for this pro- Taking acetami	g things. Can't walk as a. At times, problems BLEM: inophen on her own.
Problems holding much as refore patting clothes of previous treatment for this problems acctang acctang acctang	g things. Can't walk as a. At times, problems BLEM: inophen on her own.
Problems holding much as tegor ptting slothes of previous treatment for this pro- Taking acetami	g things. Can't walk as a. At times, problems BLEM: inophen on her own.
Problems holding much as refore patting clothes of previous treatment for this problems acctang acctang acctang	g things. Can't walk as a. At times, problems BLEM: inophen on her own.
Problems holding much as refore patting clothes of previous treatment for this problems acctang acctang acctang	g things. Can't walk as a. At times, problems BLEM: inophen on her own.
Problems holding much as refore patting clothes of previous treatment for this problems acctang acctang acctang	g things. Can't walk as a. At times, problems BLEM: inophen on her own.
Problems holding much as refore patting clothes of previous treatment for this problems acctang acctang acctang	g things. Can't walk as a. At times, problems BLEM: inophen on her own.
Problems holding much as refore patting clothes of previous treatment for this problems acctang acctang acctang	g things. Can't walk as a. At times, problems BLEM: inophen on her own.
Problems holding much as rejoing getting clothes of previous treatment for this problems acctang acctanges	g things. Can't walk as a. At times, problems BLEM: inophen on her own.

Figure. Work-up Form (above) and Database and Tracking Form (next page) for a 28-year-old woman with rheumatoid arthritis.

		Visit 1 DATE 1/7/83	Visit 2 DATE 1/21/85	Visit 3 DATE 1/3//83	Visit 4 E DATE 2/14/8
CAL	Musculoskeletal Joint: Pain/Tenderness Swelling Shoulder/Hip Pain Spine Pain Muscle Pain/Aching/Stiffness Morning Stiffness > I hour	2 2 2 0 1 36r	3 2 2 0 / 3	2 2 2 0 2 3	1 -1 -12.bc
HISTORICAL	Systemic Fatigue Fever Weight Loss Skin Rash GI symptoms GU symptoms Depression Other Psychological Problem Sleep Disturbance Other organs (specify)	2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	200000-0-0	3 9/6 0 West Auro	000000000000000000000000000000000000000
PHYSICAL	Joint: Tenderness Swelling Heat Deformity/Instability Nonarticular Swelling Nonarticular Tenderness Muscle Weakness Subcutaneous Nodules Rash Lymph Node Enlargement Liver/Spieen Enlargement Other Organ Involvement Vasculitic Lesions Functional Impairment (as reported by the patient)	1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	22-100000000000000000000000000000000000	7 /000000000	7 7000000000000000000000000000000000000
LABORATORY	CBC Westergren ESR RA Latex Antinuclear Antibodies Uric Acid Muscle Enzymes Joint Fluid X-ray	HCT 35 42 1° 80 NO NO NO NO	priorite la		
	CONCOMITANT MEDICATIONS & DOSAGE	None			
100	Rx ORDERED TODAY	ASA 0.3	ASAO.3 4 tate alterate with 3 tate of to marin NHau	Eddone 20 mg	Continue Feldene